arXiv:0811.1419v2 [math.AP] 20 Nov 2008

Global Well-posedness for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations with small rough data in high demension

Hua Zhang

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P. R. China

E-mail: zhanghuamaths@163.com(H. Zhang)

Abstract: For $n \geqslant 2$, we establish the smooth effects for the solutions of the linear fourth order Shrödinger equation in anisotropic Lebesgue spaces with $\Box_k$-decomposition. Using these estimates, we study the Cauchy problem for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations with three order derivatives and obtain the global well posedness for this problem with small data in modulation space $M^{9/2}_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Keywords: Global well-posedness, Fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations, small data.

MSC 2000: 35 L 30, 46 E 35 47 D 99.

1 Introduction

In our earlier paper [30], we consider the Cauchy problem for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations with three order derivatives (4NLS)

$$\mathrm{i}u_t + \Delta^2 u - \varepsilon\Delta u = F((\partial_x^\alpha u)_{|\alpha|\leqslant 3}, (\partial_x^\alpha \bar{u})_{|\alpha|\leqslant 3}), \quad u(0,x) = u_0(x), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\varepsilon \in \{0,\, 1\}$, u is a complex valued function of $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n$.

$$\Delta u = -\mathscr{F}^{-1}\,|\xi|^2\,\mathscr{F}u, \quad \Delta^2 u = \mathscr{F}^{-1}\,|\xi|^4\,\mathscr{F}u, \tag{1.2}$$

$F : \mathbb{C}^{\frac{1}{3}n^3+2n^2+\frac{11}{3}n+2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a polynomial of the form

$$F(z) = P(z_1, ..., z_{\frac{1}{3}n^3+2n^2+\frac{11}{3}n+2}) = \sum_{m+1\leqslant|\beta|\leqslant M+1} c_\beta z^\beta, \quad c_\beta \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{1.3}$$

$2+8/n \leqslant m \leqslant M, m, M \in \mathbb{N}$. In this paper, we keep on studying this problem mainly with the method in [26] .

The fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equation, including its special forms, arise in deep water wave dynamics, plasma physics, optical communications (see [6]). A large amount of work has been devoted to the Cauchy problem of dispersive equations, such as [1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21, 22] and references therein. In [21], by using the method of Fourier restriction norm, Segata studied a special fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equation in one dimensional space. And the results have been improved in [12, 22].

In [26], Wang, Han and Huang discussed

$$\mathrm{i}u_t + \Delta_{\pm} u = F(u, \bar{u}, \nabla u, \nabla \bar{u}), \quad u(0,x) = u_0(x) \tag{1.4}$$

where $\Delta_{\pm} u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i \partial_{x_i}^2 u$ and $\varepsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}, i = 1, ..., n$. They proved (1.4) is global well-posed in modulation spaces $M^s_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n), s \geqslant 3/2$.

1.1 $M^s_{2,1}$ and $B^s_{2,1}$

In this paper, we are mainly interested in the cases that the initial data u_0 belongs to the modulation space $M^s_{2,1}$ for which the norm can be equivalently defined in the following way (cf. [7, 29, 28, 27]):

$$\|f\|_{M^s_{2,1}} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^s \|\mathscr{F} f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\langle k\rangle = 1 + |k|$, $Q_k = \{\xi : -1/2 \le \xi_i - k_i < 1/2,\ i = 1, ..., n\}$. For simplicity, we write $M_{2,1} = M^0_{2,1}$. Since only the modulation space $M^s_{2,1}$ will be used in this paper, we will not state the defination of the general modulation spaces $M^s_{p,q}$, one can refer to Feichtinger [7]. Modulation spaces $M^s_{2,1}$ are related to the Besov spaces $B^s_{2,1}$ for which the norm is defined as follows:

$$\|f\|_{B^s_{2,1}} = \|\mathscr{F} f\|_{L^2(B(0,1))} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{sj} \|\mathscr{F} f\|_{L^2(B(0,2^j)\setminus B(0,2^{j-1}))}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $B(x_0, R) := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R} : |\xi - x_0| \le R\}$. It is known that there holds the following optimal inclusions between $B^{n/2+s}_{2,1}$, $M^s_{2,1}$ and $B^s_{2,1}$ (cf. [25, 23, 27]):

$$B^{n/2+s}_{2,1} \subset M^s_{2,1} \subset B^s_{2,1}. \tag{1.7}$$

So, comparing $M^s_{2,1}$ with $B^{s+n/2}_{2,1}$, we see that $M^s_{2,1}$ contains a class of functions u satisfying $\|u\|_{M^s_{2,1}} = \infty$ but $\|u\|_{B^{s+n/2}_{2,1}} \ll 1$. On the other hand, we can also find a class of rough functions u satisfying $\|u\|_{B^s_{2,1}} = \infty$ but $\|u\|_{M^s_{2,1}} \ll 1$. We have $B^{n/2}_{2,1} \subset M_{2,1} \subset L^\infty \cap L^2$, this embedding is also optimal.

1.2 Main results

We now give our results, the notations used here can be found in the section 1.3.

Theorem 1.1 *Let* $n \geqslant 2$, $2 \le m \le M < \infty$, $m > 8/n$. *Assume that* $u_0 \in M^{9/2}_{2,1}$ *and* $\|u_0\|_{M^{9/2}_{2,1}} \le \delta$ *for some small* $\delta > 0$. *Then* (1.1) *has a unique global solution* $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, M^{9/2}_{2,1}) \cap X$, *where*

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_X =& \sum_{\alpha=0,3} \sum_{i,\,\ell=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_i|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_i\rangle^3 \left\|\partial^\alpha_{x_\ell} \Box_k u\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha=0,3} \sum_{i,\,\ell=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2-3/m} \left\|\partial^\alpha_{x_\ell} \Box_k u\right\|_{L^m_{x_i} L^\infty_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha=0,3} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \left\|\partial^\alpha_{x_\ell} \Box_k u\right\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x \bigcap L^{2+m}_{x,t}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

where $k = (k_1, ..., k_n)$. *Moreover,* $\|u\|_X \lesssim \delta$.

In Theorem 1.1, if $u_0 \in M^s_{2,1}$ with $s > 9/2$, then we have $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, M^s_{2,1})$. When the nonlinearity F has a simple form, say,

$$\mathrm{i}u_t + \Delta^2 u - \varepsilon \Delta u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \partial^3_{x_i}(u^{\kappa_i+1}), \quad u(0,x) = u_0(x), \tag{1.9}$$

For this special forms, we have

Theorem 1.2 *Let* $n \geqslant 2$, $\kappa_i \geqslant 2 \vee \frac{8}{n}$, $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $\kappa = \min_{1\le i\le n} \kappa_i$. *Assume that* $u_0 \in M^{3/2}_{2,1}$ *and* $\|u_0\|_{M^{3/2}_{2,1}} \le \delta$ *for some small* $\delta > 0$. *Then* (1.9) *has a unique global solution* $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, M^{3/2}_{2,1}) \cap X$, *where*

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{X_1} =& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_i|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_i\rangle^3 \|\Box_k u\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2-3/\kappa} \|\Box_k u\|_{L^\kappa_{x_i} L^\infty_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ &+ \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x \bigcap L^{2+\kappa}_{x,t}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

Moreover, $\|u\|_{X_1} \lesssim \delta$.

We remark that in Theorem 1.2, the same result holds if the nonlinear term $\partial^3_{x_i}(u^{\kappa_i+1})$ is replaced by $\partial^3_{x_i}(|u|^{\kappa_i}u)$ ($\kappa_i \in 2\mathbb{N}$).

Corollary 1.3 *Let $n \geqslant 2$, $s > (n+3)/2$. Let X and X_1 be as in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, respectively. We have the following results.*

(i) *Let $2 \le m \le M < \infty$, $m > 8/n$. Assume that $u_0 \in H^{s+3}$ and $\|u_0\|_{H^{s+1}} \le \delta$ for some small $\delta > 0$. Then has a unique global solution $u \in X$.*

(ii) *Let $\kappa_i \geqslant 2 \vee \frac{8}{n}$, $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Assume that $u_0 \in H^s$ and $\|u_0\|_{H^s} \le \delta$ for some small $\delta > 0$. Then* (1.9) *has a unique global solution $u \in X_1$.*

1.3 Notations

In this paper, we use the same notation as [26]. The following are some notations which will be frequently used in this paper: $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ will stand for the sets of complex number, reals, positive integers and integers, respectively. $c \le 1$, $C > 1$ will denote positive universal constants, which can be different at different places. $a \lesssim b$ stands for $a \le Cb$ for some constant $C > 1$, $a \sim b$ means that $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$. We write $a \wedge b = \min(a,b)$, $a \vee b = \max(a,b)$, $k_{\max} = \max_{1\le l\le n} |k_l|$. We denote by p' the dual number of $p \in [1,\infty]$, i.e., $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. We will use Lebesgue spaces $L^p := L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|\cdot\|_p := \|\cdot\|_{L^p}$, Sobolev spaces $H^s = (I-\Delta)^{-s/2}L^2$. Some properties of these function spaces can be found in [2, 24]. We will use the function spaces $L^q_t L^p_x(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and $L^p_x L^q_t(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ for which the norms are defined by

$$\|f\|_{L^q_t L^p_x(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} = \Big\| \|f\|_{L^p_x(\mathbb{R}^n)} \Big\|_{L^q_t(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \|f\|_{L^p_x L^q_t(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} = \Big\| \|f\|_{L^q_t(\mathbb{R})} \Big\|_{L^p_x(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

$L^p_{x,t}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) := L^p_x L^p_t(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. We denote by $L^{p_1}_{x_i} L^{p_2}_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^{p_2}_t := L^{p_1}_{x_i} L^{p_2}_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^{p_2}_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})$ the anisotropic Lebesgue space for which the norm is defined by

$$\|f\|_{L^{p_1}_{x_i} L^{p_2}_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^{p_2}_t} = \Big\| \|f\|_{L^{p_2}_{x_1,\dots,x_{j-1},x_{j+1},\dots,x_n} L^{p_2}_t(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \Big\|_{L^{p_1}_{x_i}(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{1.11}$$

It is also convenient to use the notation $L^{p_1}_{x_1} L^{p_2}_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^{p_2}_t := L^{p_1}_{x_1} L^{p_2}_{(x_j)_{j\neq 1}} L^{p_2}_t$. For any $1 < k < n$, we denote by $\mathscr{F}_{x_1,\dots,x_k}$ the partial Fourier transform:

$$(\mathscr{F}_{x_1,\dots,x_k} f)(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_k,x_{k+1},\dots,x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{-\mathrm{i}(x_1\xi_1+\dots+x_k\xi_k)} f(x)\,dx_1\dots dx_k \tag{1.12}$$

and by $\mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_k}$ the partial inverse Fourier transform, similarly for $\mathscr{F}_{t,x}$ and $\mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi}$. $\mathscr{F} := \mathscr{F}_{x_1,\dots,x_n}$, $\mathscr{F}^{-1} := \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_n}$. $D^s_{x_i} = (-\partial^2_{x_i})^{s/2} = \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_i} |\xi_i|^s \mathscr{F}_{x_i}$ expresses the partial Riesz potential in the x_i direction. $\partial^{-1}_{x_i} = \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_i} (\mathrm{i}\xi_i)^{-1} \mathscr{F}_{x_i}$. We will use the Bernstein multiplier estimate; cf. [2, 24]. For any $r \in [1,\infty]$,

$$\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\varphi\mathscr{F} f\|_r \le C\|\varphi\|_{H^s}\|f\|_r, \quad s > n/2. \tag{1.13}$$

We will use the frequency-uniform decomposition operators (cf. [29, 28, 27]). Let $\{\sigma_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}$ be a function sequence satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_k(\xi) \geqslant c, \quad \forall\, \xi \in Q_k, \\ \operatorname{supp}\sigma_k \subset \{\xi : |\xi - k| \le \sqrt{n}\}, \\ \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \sigma_k(\xi) \equiv 1, \quad \forall\, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ |D^\alpha \sigma_k(\xi)| \le C_m, \quad \forall\, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ |\alpha| \le m \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

Denote

$$\Upsilon = \left\{\{\sigma_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} :\ \{\sigma_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \text{ satisfies (1.14)}\right\}. \tag{1.15}$$

Let $\{\sigma_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \in \Upsilon$ be a function sequence and

$$\Box_k := \mathscr{F}^{-1}\sigma_k\mathscr{F}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \tag{1.16}$$

which are said to be the frequency-uniform decomposition operators. One may ask the existence of the frequency-uniform decomposition operators. Indeed, let $\rho \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,1]$ be a smooth radial bump function adapted to the ball $B(0,\sqrt{n})$, say $\rho(\xi) = 1$ as $|\xi| \le \sqrt{n}/2$, and $\rho(\xi) = 0$ as $|\xi| \geqslant \sqrt{n}$. Let ρ_k be a translation of ρ: $\rho_k(\xi) = \rho(\xi - k),\ k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We write

$$\eta_k(\xi) = \rho_k(\xi)\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \rho_k(\xi)\right)^{-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^n. \tag{1.17}$$

We have $\{\eta_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \in \Upsilon$. It is easy to see that for any $\{\eta_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \in \Upsilon$,

$$\|f\|_{M^s_{2,1}} \sim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^s \|\Box_k f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We will use the function space $\ell^{1,s}_\Box(L^p_t L^r_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^n))$ which contains all of the functions $f(t,x)$ so that the following norm is finite:

$$\|f\|_{\ell^{1,s}_\Box(L^p_t L^r_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^n))} := \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^s \|\Box_k f\|_{L^p_t L^r_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{1.18}$$

For simplicity, we write $\ell^1_\Box(L^p_t L^r_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^n)) = \ell^{1,0}_\Box(L^p_t L^r_x(I\times\mathbb{R}^n))$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we show the smooth effect estimates of the solutions of the fourth order linear Schrödinger equation in anisotripic Lebesgue spaces with $\Box_k$-decomposition. In Sections 3 and 4 we consider the frequency-uniform localized versions for the global maximal function estimates, the smooth effects with $\Box_k$-decomposition , together with their relations to the Strichartz estimates. In Sections 5 and 6 we prove our Theorems 1.2 and 1.1, respectively.

2 Smooth effects with $\square_k$-decomposition

In this paper, we always denote

$$S(t)=e^{\mathrm{i}t(\Delta^2-\varepsilon\Delta)}=\mathscr{F}^{-1}e^{\mathrm{i}t(|\xi|^4+\varepsilon|\xi|^2)}\mathscr{F},\quad \mathscr{A}f(t,x)=\int_0^t S(t-\tau)f(\tau,x)d\tau.$$

where $\varepsilon=0,1$.

Proposition 2.1 *For any $k=(k_1,...,k_n)\in\mathbb{Z}^n$, $|k_i|=k_{\max}$, $i=1,...,n$, we have*

$$\left\|\square_k\partial_{x_i}^3\mathscr{A}f\right\|_{L_{x_i}^\infty L_{(x_j)j\neq i}^2L_t^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\lesssim\|\square_k f\|_{L_{x_i}^1L_{(x_j)j\neq i}^2L_t^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. *Firstly, we assume $\varepsilon=0$. We only give the proof of the case $i=1$, the other cases is identical due to the symmetry. Observing that*

$$\partial_{x_1}^3\mathscr{A}f=c\mathscr{F}_{\tau,\xi}^{-1}\frac{\xi_1^3}{|\xi|^4-\tau}\mathscr{F}_{t,x}f \tag{2.2}$$

Using Plancherel's identity, it is equivalent to prove

$$\left\|\mathscr{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1}\frac{\sigma_k(\xi)\xi_1^3}{|\xi|^4-\tau}\mathscr{F}_{x_1}f\right\|_{L_{x_1}^\infty L_{(\xi_j)j\neq1}^2L_t^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\lesssim\left\|\mathscr{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1}\sigma_k(\xi)f\right\|_{L_{x_1}^1L_{(\xi_j)j\neq1}^2L_\tau^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \tag{2.3}$$

Using Young's inequality, it is suffices to prove

$$\sup_{x_1,\tau,\xi_j(j\neq1)}\left|\mathscr{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1}\frac{\sigma_k(\xi)\xi_1^3}{|\xi|^4-\tau}\right|\lesssim C. \tag{2.4}$$

We give the proof of (2.4) according to $\tau>0$ or $\tau\leqslant0$. Obsering that in this case we have $|\xi_1|\sim\max|\xi_j|,j=1,...,n,||\xi|-k|\leqslant\sqrt{n}$. Therefore, when $\tau\leqslant0$ we have

$$\sup_{x_1,\tau,\xi_j(j\neq1)}\left|\mathscr{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1}\frac{\sigma_k(\xi)\xi_1^3}{|\xi|^4-\tau}\right|\lesssim\left|\int_{|\xi_1|\sim k}\frac{1}{\xi_1}d\xi_1\right|\lesssim C$$

When $\tau>0$, for simplicity we drop $\sigma_k(\xi)$. From $|\xi|^4-\tau=(|\xi|^2-\sqrt{\tau})(|\xi|^2+\sqrt{\tau})$, and let $\left|\bar{\xi}\right|^2=\sum_{j=2}^n\xi_j{}^2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\frac{\xi_1^3}{|\xi|^4-\tau}e^{ix_1\xi_1}d\xi_1\\=&\int\frac{\xi_1^3}{(|\xi|^2-\sqrt{\tau})(|\xi|^2+\sqrt{\tau})}e^{ix_1\xi_1}d\xi_1\end{aligned}$$

$$= \int \frac{\xi_1^3}{(\xi_1^2+|\bar{\xi}|^2-\sqrt{\tau})(\xi_1^2+|\bar{\xi}|^2+\sqrt{\tau})} e^{ix_1\xi_1} d\xi_1$$

When $|\bar{\xi}|^2-\sqrt{\tau}\geqslant 0$*, we easily get the desired result.*
When $|\bar{\xi}|^2-\sqrt{\tau}<0$*, we let* $A^2=-(|\bar{\xi}|^2-\sqrt{\tau})$ *and* $B^2=|\bar{\xi}|^2+\sqrt{\tau}$*. We get*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \frac{\xi_1^3}{(\xi_1^2+|\bar{\xi}|^2-\sqrt{\tau})(\xi_1^2+|\bar{\xi}|^2+\sqrt{\tau})} e^{ix_1\xi_1} d\xi_1 \\ = & \int \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_1^2-A^2} \frac{\xi_1^2}{\xi_1^2+B^2} e^{ix_1\xi_1} d\xi_1 \\ = & \frac{1}{2}\int \left(\frac{1}{\xi_1+A}+\frac{1}{\xi_1-A}\right)\frac{\xi_1^2}{\xi_1^2+B^2} e^{ix_1\xi_1} d\xi_1 \\ = & I+II \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

For part I, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{2}\int \frac{1}{\xi_1+A}\frac{\xi_1^2+B^2-B^2}{\xi_1^2+B^2} e^{ix_1\xi_1} d\xi_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int \frac{1}{\xi_1+A} e^{ix_1\xi_1} d\xi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\int \frac{1}{\xi_1+A}\frac{-B^2}{\xi_1^2+B^2} e^{ix_1\xi_1} d\xi_1 \\ &= I_1+I_2 \end{aligned}$$

The part I_1 *is bounded onwing to Hilbert transform. For* I_2*, by changes of variables, it suffices to show*

$$\sup_{x_1} \int \frac{1}{1+\xi_1}\frac{1}{1+D^2\xi_1^2} e^{ix_1\xi_1} d\xi_1 \lesssim C \tag{2.6}$$

where $D=\frac{A}{B}$*. Using the fact that* $\mathscr{F}(e^{-|x|})(\xi)=C\frac{1}{1+|\xi|^2}$*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int \frac{1}{1+\xi_1}\frac{1}{1+D^2\xi_1^2} e^{ix_1\xi_1} d\xi_1 \right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}} &= \left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1}\left(\frac{1}{1+\xi_1}\right) * \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1}\left(\frac{1}{1+D^2\xi_1^2}\right) \right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1}\left(\frac{1}{1+\xi_1}\right)\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}} \left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1}\left(\frac{1}{1+D^2\xi_1^2}\right)\right\|_{L^1_{x_1}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1}\left(\frac{1}{1+\xi_1}\right)\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}} \left\| \frac{1}{D} e^{-\frac{x_1}{D}} \right\|_{L^1_{x_1}} \\ &\lesssim C \end{aligned}$$

The part II *is similar to* I*, so we get the result desired. Now we consider the case* $\varepsilon=1$*. Comparing the proof of the case* $\varepsilon=0$*, it suffices to show*

$$\sup_{x_1,\tau,\xi_j(j\neq 1)}\left|\mathscr{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1}\frac{\sigma_k(\xi)\xi_1^3}{|\xi|^4+|\xi|^2-\tau}\right|\lesssim C.$$

When $\tau\leqslant 0$, the proof is identical to the case $\varepsilon=0$. Observing that when $\tau>0$, we can choose $\tau_2=-\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}+\tau}>0$ such that

$$|\xi|^4+|\xi|^2-\tau=(|\xi|^2-\tau_2)(|\xi|^2+\tau_2+1) \tag{2.7}$$

which is turn to (2.5). □

Remark 2.2 We assume $|k_i|=k_{\max}$ in Prop 2.1. For the general case, see Section 4 for details.

Proposition 2.3 *For any $k=(k_1,...,k_n)\in\mathbb{Z}^n$, $|k_i|=k_{\max}$, $i=1,...,n$, we have*

$$\left\|\Box_k D_{x_i}^{3/2}S(t)u_0\right\|_{L_{x_i}^\infty L^2_{(x_j)j\neq i}L_t^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\lesssim\left\|\Box_k u_0\right\|_{L^2} \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. *As Prop 2.1, we only need to prove the case $i=1$. By Plancherel's identity, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\Box_k D_{x_1}^{3/2}S(t)u_0\right\|_{L_{x_1}^\infty L^2_{(x_j)j\neq 1}L_t^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\ =&\left\|\int\sigma_k(\xi)\left|\xi_1\right|^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{\mathrm{i}t(|\xi|^4+\varepsilon|\xi|^2)}\widehat{u_0}(\xi)e^{\mathrm{i}x_1\xi_1}d\xi_1\right\|_{L_{x_1}^\infty L^2_{(\xi_j)j\neq 1}L_t^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\end{aligned}$$

We can assume $\xi_1>0$, otherwise we let $\xi_1'=-\xi_1$. Making variables change $\eta=|\xi|^4+\varepsilon\left|\xi\right|^2$ and using Plancherel's identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\int\sigma_k(\xi)\xi_1^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^4}\widehat{u_0}(\xi)e^{\mathrm{i}x_1\xi_1}d\xi_1\right\|_{L_{x_1}^\infty L^2_{(\xi_j)j\neq 1}L_t^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\ \lesssim&\left\|\int\sigma_k(\xi(\eta))\xi_1^{\frac{3}{2}}(\eta)e^{\mathrm{i}t\eta}\widehat{u_0}(\xi(\eta))e^{\mathrm{i}x_1\xi_1(\eta)}(|\xi|^2+\varepsilon)^{-1}\xi_1^{-1}(\eta)d\eta\right\|_{L_{x_1}^\infty L_t^2L^2_{(\xi_j)j\neq 1}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\ \lesssim&\left\|\sigma_k(\xi(\eta))\xi_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta)\widehat{u_0}(\xi(\eta))(|\xi|^2+\varepsilon)^{-1}\right\|_{L_\eta^2L^2_{(\xi_j)j\neq 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ \lesssim&\left\|\sigma_k(\xi)\xi_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\widehat{u_0}(\xi)(|\xi|^2+\varepsilon)^{-1}(|\xi|^2+\varepsilon)^{1/2}\xi_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L_{\xi_1}^2L^2_{(\xi_j)j\neq 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ =&\left\|\sigma_k(\xi)\xi_1(|\xi|^2+\varepsilon)^{-1/2}\widehat{u_0}(\xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi_1}^2L^2_{(\xi_j)j\neq 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ \lesssim&\left\|u_0\right\|_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

□

By the duality of (2.8), we have the following

Proposition 2.4 *For any* $k=(k_1,...,k_n)\in\mathbb{Z}^n$, $|k_i|=k_{\max}$, $i=1,...,n$, *we have*

$$\left\|\Box_k\partial^3_{x_i}\mathscr{A}f\right\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\lesssim\left\|\Box_kD^{3/2}_{x_i}f\right\|_{L^1_{x_i}L^2_{(x_j)j\neq i}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{2.9}$$

3 Linear estimates with $\Box_k$-decomposition

In this section we consider the smooth effect estimates, the maximal function estimates, the Strichartz estimates and their interaction estimates for the solutions of the fourth order Schrödinger equations by using the frequency-uniform decomposition operators. For convenience, we will use the following function sequence $\{\sigma_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}$. Now we recall some results in [26].

Lemma 3.1 *Let* $\eta_k:\mathbb{R}\to[0,1]$ $(k\in\mathbb{Z})$ *be a smooth-function sequence satisfying condition* (1.14)*. Denote*

$$\sigma_k(\xi):=\eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)...\eta_{k_n}(\xi_n),\quad k=(k_1,...,k_n). \tag{3.1}$$

Then we have $\{\sigma_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\in\Upsilon$.

Lemma 3.2 *For any* $\sigma\in\mathbb{R}$ *and* $k=(k_1,...,k_n)\in\mathbb{Z}^n$ *with* $|k_i|\geqslant 4$, *we have*

$$\|\Box_kD^\sigma_{x_i}u\|_{L^{p_1}_{x_1}L^{p_2}_{x_2,...,x_n}L^{p_2}_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\lesssim\langle k_i\rangle^\sigma\|\Box_ku\|_{L^{p_1}_{x_1}L^{p_2}_{x_2,...,x_n}L^{p_2}_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{3.2}$$

Replacing $D^\sigma_{x_i}$ *by* $\partial^\sigma_{x_i}$ $(\sigma\in\mathbb{N})$, *the above inequality holds for all* $k\in\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Remark 3.3 From the proof, we can get that the $\lesssim$ in Lemma 3.2 can be strengthened as $\sim$. In fact, taking $v=D^\sigma_{x_i}u$ in (3.2) and noticing $\sigma\in\mathbb{R}$, we can get the reversal inequality of (3.2).

The next lemma is essentially known, see [24, 29].

Lemma 3.4 *Let* $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ *be a compact set with* $\operatorname{diam}\Omega<2R$, $0<p\leq q\leq\infty$. *Then there exists a constant* $C>0$, *which depends only on* p,q *such that*

$$\|f\|_q\leq CR^{n(1/p-1/q)}\|f\|_p,\quad\forall\, f\in L^p_\Omega,$$

where $L^p_\Omega=\{f\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n):\operatorname{supp}\hat{f}\subset\Omega,\ \|f\|_p<\infty\}$.

Here we emphasis that we can find a constant $C > 0$ uniformly holds for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ in Lemma 3.4.

It is known that $S(t)$ satisfy the following $L^p - L^{p'}$ estimate:

$$\|S(t)f\|_p \lesssim |t|^{-n/4(1-2/p)} \|f\|_{p'}, |t| \geqslant 1; 2 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, \tag{3.3}$$

Using the same procedure as in [29], we have

$$\|\square_k S(t)f\|_p \lesssim \sum_{l\in\Lambda} \|\square_{k+l} f\|_{p'}, 2 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty \tag{3.4}$$

where $\Lambda = \{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n : B(0, \sqrt{n}) \cap B(l, \sqrt{n}) \neq \phi\}$.
Combining (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$\|\square_k S(t)f\|_p \lesssim (1+|t|)^{-n/4(1-2/p)} \sum_{l\in\Lambda} \|\square_{k+l} f\|_{p'}, 2 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty \tag{3.5}$$

Using (3.5) and following the procedure in [28], we get the following

Lemma 3.5 *Let* $2 \le p < \infty$, $\gamma \geqslant 2 \vee \gamma(p)$,

$$\frac{4}{\gamma(p)} = n\Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\Big).$$

Then we have

$$\|S(t)\varphi\|_{\ell^1_\square(L^\gamma(\mathbb{R},L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)))} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{M_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$
$$\|\mathscr{A} f\|_{\ell^1_\square(L^\gamma(\mathbb{R},L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)))\cap\ell^1_\square(L^\infty(\mathbb{R},L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))} \lesssim \|f\|_{\ell^1_\square(L^{\gamma'}(\mathbb{R},L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)))}.$$

In particular, if $2 + 8/n \le p < \infty$, *then we have*

$$\|S(t)\varphi\|_{\ell^1_\square(L^p_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}))} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{M_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$
$$\|\mathscr{A} f\|_{\ell^1_\square(L^p_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}))\cap\ell^1_\square(L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}))} \lesssim \|f\|_{\ell^1_\square(L^{p'}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}))}.$$

In [13], when $n \geqslant 3$ Ionescu and Kenig showed the following maximal function estimates:

$$\|\triangle_k S(t)u_0\|_{L^2_{x_i}L^\infty_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim 2^{(n-1)k/2}\|\triangle_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.6}$$

Combining their idea and the frequency-uniform decomposition operators as [26], we obtain the following

Proposition 3.6 *Let* $8/n < q \le \infty$, $q \geqslant 2$ *and* $k = (k_1, ..., k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, *we have*

$$\|\square_k S(t)u_0\|_{L^q_{x_i}L^\infty_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/q}\|\square_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_k(\xi) e^{\mathrm{i}x\xi} e^{\mathrm{i}t(|\xi|^4+\varepsilon|\xi|^2)} u_0 d\xi \right\|_{L^q_{x_1} L^\infty_{(x_j)_{j\neq 1}} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/q} \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{3.8}$$

By a standard TT^* method, it suffices to prove

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}} \delta_k(\xi) e^{\mathrm{i}x_1\xi_1} e^{\mathrm{i}\overline{x}\overline{\xi}} e^{\mathrm{i}t(|\xi|^4+\varepsilon|\xi|^2)} u_0(\xi) d\xi_1 d\overline{\xi} \right\|_{L^{q/2}_{x_1} L^\infty_{\overline{x},t}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{6/q} \tag{3.9}$$

where $\overline{k} = (k_2, ..., k_n), \overline{x} = (x_2, ..., x_n), \overline{\xi} = (\xi_2, ..., \xi_n)$. For convenience, we give the details of (3.9) when $n = 2$. The general case can be treated similarly.

When $n = 2$, we can write (3.9) as following

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \delta_k(\xi) e^{\mathrm{i}x_1\xi_1} e^{\mathrm{i}x_2\xi_2} e^{\mathrm{i}t(|\xi|^4+\varepsilon|\xi|^2)} u_0(\xi) d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right\|_{L^{q/2}_{x_1} L^\infty_{x_2,t}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{6/q} \tag{3.10}$$

Making variable changes $\xi_j - k_j = \mu_j, j = 1, 2$, ie. $\xi - k = \mu$, we have

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \delta_0(\mu) \prod_{j=1}^{2} e^{\mathrm{i}x_jk_j} e^{\mathrm{i}x_j\mu_j} e^{\mathrm{i}t(|\xi+k|^4+\varepsilon|\xi+k|^2)} u_0(\mu+k) d\mu_1 d\mu_2 \right\|_{L^{q/2}_{x_1} L^\infty_{x_2,t}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{6/q} \tag{3.11}$$

We use the L denotes the left of (3.11) in later proof. Expanding the term $|\xi + k|^4 + \varepsilon|\xi + k|^2$, we obtain three sorts of terms.

Firstly, the term such as $k_1^4, k_2^4, ..., 2(k_1k_2)^2$ have no relation with the integral variable μ. For these terms, we have $\left|e^{\mathrm{i}tk_1^4}\right| = \left|e^{\mathrm{i}tk_2^4}\right| = ... = \left|e^{2\mathrm{i}t(k_1k_2)^2}\right| = 1$.

Secondly, noticing $\mu \sim 0$, we can treat terms such as $\mu_1^4, \mu_2^4, (\mu_1\mu_2)^2$ as the first case.

Finally, the main contribution to the L in the remainder terms such as $\mu_1k_1^3, \mu_2k_2^3$. We treat this case according to $|k_1| = k_{\max}$ or $|k_2| = k_{\max}$. When $|k_1| = k_{\max}$, for (3.11), we need to prove

$$L \lesssim \langle k_1\rangle^{6/q} \tag{3.12}$$

Using the above analysis about $|\xi + k|^4 + \varepsilon|\xi + k|^2$, we have

$$L \approx \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \delta_0(\mu) \prod_{j=1}^{2} e^{\mathrm{i}x_jk_j} e^{\mathrm{i}x_j\mu_j} e^{\mathrm{i}t(\mu_1k_1^3+\mu_2k_1^3)} u_0(\mu+k) d\mu_1 d\mu_2 \right\|_{L^{q/2}_{x_1} L^\infty_{x_2,t}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \tag{3.13}$$

In view of Lemma 3.1, we can write $\Box_k = \mathscr{F}^{-1}\eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)...\eta_{k_n}(\xi_n)\mathscr{F} := \mathscr{F}^{-1}\eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)\eta_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\xi})\mathscr{F}$. For one thing, in view of the decay of $\Box_k S(t)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathscr{F}_{\bar{\xi}}^{-1} e^{\mathrm{i}t(|\bar{\xi}|^4+\varepsilon|\bar{\xi}|^2)}\eta_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\xi})\|_{L^\infty_{\bar{x}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim (1+|t|)^{-(n-1)/4},\\
&\|\mathscr{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1} e^{\mathrm{i}t(\xi_1^4+\varepsilon\xi_1^2)}\eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim (1+|t|)^{-1/4}.
\end{aligned}$$

For other, integrating by part when $x_1 \geqslant 4k_1^3\,|t|+1$,

$$\left|\mathscr{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1} e^{\mathrm{i}t(\xi_1^4+\varepsilon\xi_1^2)}\eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)\right| \lesssim |x_1|^{-2}\,.$$

Therefore, when $x_1 \geqslant 4k_1^3\,|t|+1$ we have

$$L \lesssim \left\||x_1|^{-2}\right\|_{L^{q/2}_{x_1}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim C \tag{3.14}$$

When $x_1 \leqslant 4k_1^3\,|t|+1$, observing $(1+|t|)^{-n/4} \lesssim \langle k_1^3\rangle^{n/4}(\langle k_1^3\rangle+|x_1|)^{-n/4}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L \quad &\lesssim \langle k_1^3\rangle^{1/2}\left\|(\langle k_1\rangle^3+|x_1|)^{-n/4}\right\|_{L^{q/2}_{x_1}(\mathbb{R})}\\
&\lesssim \langle k_1\rangle^{6/q} \qquad (3.15)
\end{aligned}$$

Observing the above argument also holds with change the place of k_1 and k_2 when $|k_2| = k_{\max}$. From this, we can see why the right of (3.7) is $k_{\max}$. □

By duality of Proposition 3.6, we have the following

Proposition 3.7 *For $2 \le q \le \infty$, $q > 8/n$ and $k = (k_1, ..., k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have*

$$\left\|\Box_k \int_{\mathbb{R}} S(t-\tau)f(\tau)d\tau\right\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/q}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{q'}_{x_i}L^1_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}}L^1_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{3.16}$$

In view of Propositions 2.1 and 2.4, we have

Proposition 3.8 *For any $k = (k_1, ..., k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $|k_i| = k_{max}, i = 1, ..., n$, we have*

$$\left\|\Box_k \mathscr{A}\partial^3_{x_i} f\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_i}L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_i}L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\left\|\Box_k \mathscr{A}\partial^3_{x_i} f\right\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_i}L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. (3.17) holds by Proposition 2.1 directly. In the case $|k_i| \geqslant 4$, (3.18) holds by Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 3.2. In the case $|k_i| \le 3$, in view of Proposition 2.4,

$$\left\|\Box_k \mathscr{A}\partial^3_{x_i} f\right\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \left\|D^{-3/2}_{x_i}\Box_k \mathscr{A}\partial^3_{x_i} f\right\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_i}L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})},$$

which implies the result, as desired. □

By the duality and Christ-Kiselev's Lemma in anisotropic Lebesgue spaces [26], we have the following

Proposition 3.9 *For* $2 \le q \le \infty, q > 8/n$ *and* $k = (k_1, ..., k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |k_i| = k_{\max}$, $i = 1, ..., n$, *we have*

$$\left\|\Box_k \mathscr{A} \partial^3_{x_i} f\right\|_{L^q_{x_i} L^\infty_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2+3/q} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{3.19}$$

Proposition 3.10 *Let* $2 \le r < \infty$, $4/\gamma(r) = n(1/2 - 1/r)$ *and* $\gamma > \gamma(r) \vee 2$. *We have*

$$\|\Box_k S(t) u_0\|_{L^\gamma_t L^r_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{3.20}$$

$$\|\Box_k \mathscr{A} f\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x \cap L^\gamma_t L^r_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{\gamma'}_t L^{r'}_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\left\|\Box_k \mathscr{A} \partial^3_{x_i} f\right\|_{L^\gamma_t L^r_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}, \tag{3.22}$$

$$\left\|\Box_k \mathscr{A} \partial^3_{x_i} f\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{\gamma'}_t L^{r'}_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}, \tag{3.23}$$

and for $2 \le q < \infty$, $q > 8/n$, $\alpha = 0, 3$,

$$\left\|\Box_k \mathscr{A} \partial^\alpha_{x_i} f\right\|_{L^q_{x_i} L^\infty_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{\alpha+3/q} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{\gamma'}_t L^{r'}_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}, \tag{3.24}$$

Proof. (3.20) and (3.21) hold by 3.5. We now show (3.22). We use the same notations as in Proposition 3.9. By Lemmas 3.5, 3.2 and Proposition 3.8,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_k(\partial^3_{x_1} f, \psi) &\lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,...,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \left\|\tilde{\Box}_k \psi\right\|_{L^{\gamma'}_t L^{r'}_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ &\lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,...,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \|\psi\|_{L^{\gamma'}_t L^{r'}_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

By duality, (3.22) holds by (3.32) and Christ-Kiselev's Lemma. Exchanging the roles of f and ψ, we immediately have (3.23). (3.24) holds by Lemmas 3.5, 3.2 and Proposition 3.7. □

we summarize the main conclusion of this section as following:

Corollary 3.11 *For* $8/n \le p < \infty$, $2 \le q < \infty$, $q > 8/n$ *and* $k = (k_1, ..., k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $|k_i| = k_{\max}, i = 1, ...n$. *We have*

$$\left\|D^{3/2}_{x_i} \Box_k S(t) u_0\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)j\neq i} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{3.26}$$

$$\|\Box_k S(t) u_0\|_{L^q_{x_i} L^\infty_{(x_j)j\neq i} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/q} \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{3.27}$$

$$\|\Box_k S(t) u_0\|_{L^{2+p}_{t,x} \cap L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{3.28}$$

$$\left\|\Box_k \mathscr{A} \partial^3_{x_i} f\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)j\neq i} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)j\neq i} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}, \tag{3.29}$$

$$\left\|\Box_k \mathscr{A} \partial_{x_i}^3 f\right\|_{L^q_{x_i} L^\infty_{(x_j)j\neq i} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2+3/q} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)j\neq i} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}, \tag{3.30}$$

$$\left\|\Box_k \mathscr{A} \partial_{x_i}^3 f\right\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x \cap L^{2+p}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)j\neq i} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{3.31}$$

$$\left\|\Box_k \mathscr{A} \partial_{x_i}^3 f\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{(2+p)/(1+p)}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}, \tag{3.32}$$

$$\left\|\Box_k \mathscr{A} \partial_{x_i}^3 f\right\|_{L^q_{x_i} L^\infty_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3+3/q} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{(2+p)/(1+p)}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}, \tag{3.33}$$

$$\|\Box_k \mathscr{A} f\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x \cap L^{2+p}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{(2+p)/(1+p)}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{3.34}$$

4 Linear estimates with derivative interaction

Recall that in Prop 2.1 we assume that $|k_i| = k_{\max}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. In view of (3.29) in Corollary 3.11, the operator $\mathscr{A}$ in the space $L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})$ has succeed in absorbing the partial derivative $\partial_{x_1}^3$. However, it seem that $\mathscr{A}$ can not deal with the partial derivative $\partial_{x_2}^3$ in the space $L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})$. So, we need a new way to handle the interaction between $L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})$ and $\partial_{x_2}^3$.

Proposition 4.1 *For $i = 2, ..., n$, $2 \le q \le \infty$, $q > 8/n$. Let $4 \le r < \infty$, $2/\gamma(r) = n(1/2 - 1/r)$, $\gamma > 2 \vee \gamma(r)4$.*
For $|k_1| = k_{\max}$, we have

$$\left\|\Box_k \partial_{x_i}^3 \mathscr{A} f\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \|\partial_{x_i}^3 \partial_{x_1}^{-3} \Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\left\|\Box_k \partial_{x_i}^3 \mathscr{A} f\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \|\partial_{x_i}^3 D_{x_1}^{-3/2} \Box_k f\|_{L^{\gamma'} L^{r'}_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}, \tag{4.2}$$

For $|k_i| = k_{\max}$, we have

$$\left\|\Box_k \partial_{x_i}^3 \mathscr{A} f\right\|_{L^q_{x_1} L^\infty_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{q}} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\left\|\Box_k \partial_{x_i}^3 \mathscr{A} f\right\|_{L^q_{x_1} L^\infty_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3+\frac{3}{q}} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{\gamma'}_t L^{r'}_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. From Proposition 2.1, we can get (4.1) directly. As before, we only give the proof when $i = 2$. For (4.2), because of

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\partial_{x_2}^3 \Box_k f, \psi) &:= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} S(t-\tau)\partial_{x_2}^3 \Box_k f(\tau) d\tau,\ \psi(t)\right) dt\right| \\ &\le \left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}} S(-\tau)\partial_{x_2}^3 D_{x_1}^{-3/2} \Box_k f(\tau) d\tau\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left\|\tilde{\Box}_k D_{x_1}^{3/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} S(-t)\psi(t) dt\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

By the Strichartz inequality and Proposition 2.4,

$$\mathcal{L}(\partial^3_{x_2}f,\psi)\lesssim \|\partial^3_{x_2}D^{-3/2}_{x_1}\Box_k f\|_{L^{\gamma'}_t L^{r'}_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\|\psi\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{4.6}$$

By duality, (4.6) implies (4.2). The proof of (4.3) is similar. From Propositions 2.4, 3.7 and Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\partial^3_{x_2}\Box_k f,\psi) &\le \left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}} S(-\tau)D^3_{x_2}\Box_k f(\tau)d\tau\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\left\|\tilde{\Box}_k\int_{\mathbb{R}} S(-t)\psi(t)dt\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_2}L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq 2}}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/q}\|\tilde{\Box}_k\psi\|_{L^{q'}_{x_1}L^1_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^1_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\ &\lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2}\langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/q}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_2}L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq 2}}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\|\psi\|_{L^{q'}_{x_1}L^1_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^1_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

(4.3) is the duality of (4.7). For (4.4), noticing that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\partial^3_{x_2}\Box_k f,\psi) &\le \left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}} S(-\tau)\partial^3_{x_2}\Box_k f(\tau)d\tau\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\left\|\tilde{\Box}_k\int_{\mathbb{R}} S(-t)\psi(t)dt\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3}\langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/q}\|\psi\|_{L^{q'}_{x_1}L^1_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^1_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{\gamma'}_t L^{r'}_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})},\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

which implies (4.4), as desired. □

Lemma 4.2 *Let $\psi:[0,\infty)\to[0,1]$ be a smooth bump function satisfying $\psi(x)=1$ as $|x|\le 1$ and $\psi(x)=0$ if $|x|\geqslant 2$. Denote $\psi_1(\xi)=\psi(\xi_2/2\xi_1)$, $\psi_2(\xi)=1-\psi(\xi_2/2\xi_1)$, $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Then we have for $\sigma\geqslant 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\,|k_1|>4}\langle k_1\rangle^{\sigma}\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\xi_2}\psi_1\mathscr{F}_{x_1,x_2}\Box_k\partial^3_{x_2}\mathscr{A}f\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\ &\quad\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\,|k_1|>4}\langle k_1\rangle^{\sigma}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})},\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

and for $\sigma\geqslant 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\,|k_1|>4}\langle k_1\rangle^{\sigma}\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\xi_2}\psi_2\mathscr{F}_{x_1,x_2}\Box_k\partial^3_{x_2}\mathscr{A}f\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\ &\quad\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\,|k_2|>4}\langle k_1\rangle^{\sigma-3}\langle k_2\rangle^{3}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. For the terseness of proof, we let

$$I=\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\xi_2}\psi_1\mathscr{F}_{x_1,x_2}\Box_k\partial^3_{x_2}\mathscr{A}f\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})},$$

$$II = \left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\xi_2} \psi_2 \mathscr{F}_{x_1,x_2} \Box_k \partial^3_{x_2} \mathscr{A} f \right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.$$

Firstly, we give the estimate of I. Let η_k be as in Lemma 3.1. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $|k_1| > 4$, applying the almost orthogonality of $\Box_k$, we have

$$I \lesssim \sum_{|\ell_1|,|\ell_2|\le 1} \left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\xi_2} \psi\left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\xi_1}\right) (\frac{\xi_2}{\xi_1})^3 \prod_{i=1,2} \eta_{k_i+\ell_i}(\xi_i) \mathscr{F}_{x_1,x_2} \Box_k \partial^3_{x_1} \mathscr{A} f \right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{4.11}$$

Denote

$$(f \circledast_{12} g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) g(t, y_1, y_2) dy_1 dy_2. \tag{4.12}$$

We have for any Banach function space X defined on $\mathbb{R}^{1+n}$,

$$\|f \circledast_{12} g\|_X \le \|g\|_{L^1_{y_1,y_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \sup_{y_1,y_2} \|f(\cdot, \cdot - y_1, \cdot - y_2, \cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_X. \tag{4.13}$$

Hence, combining (4.11) with (4.13),

$$I \lesssim \sum_{|\ell_1|,|\ell_2|\le 1} \left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\xi_2} \psi\left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\xi_1}\right) (\frac{\xi_2}{\xi_1})^3 \prod_{i=1,2} \eta_{k_i+\ell_i}(\xi_i) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \left\| \Box_k \partial^3_{x_1} \mathscr{A} f \right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{4.14}$$

Using Bernstein's multiplier estimate, for $|k_1| > 4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\xi_2} \psi\left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\xi_1}\right) (\frac{\xi_2}{\xi_1})^3 \prod_{i=1,2} \eta_{k_i+\ell_i}(\xi_i) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|\alpha|\le 2} \left\| D^\alpha \left[\psi\left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\xi_1}\right) (\frac{\xi_2}{\xi_1})^3 \prod_{i=1,2} \eta_{k_i+\ell_i}(\xi_i) \right] \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

By Proposition 3.8, (4.14) and (4.15), we have

$$I \lesssim \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}, \quad |k_1| > 4. \tag{4.16}$$

Next, we consider the estimate of II. Using Proposition 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} II &\lesssim \left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\xi_2} (\xi_2/\xi_1) \psi_2 \mathscr{F}_{x_1,x_2} \Box_k f \right\|_{L^1_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|\ell_1|,|\ell_2|\le 1} \left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\xi_2} \left(1 - \psi\left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\xi_1}\right)\right) (\frac{\xi_2}{\xi_1})^3 \prod_{i=1,2} \eta_{k_i+\ell_i}(\xi_i) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{4.17}$$

Notice that $\operatorname{supp}\psi_2 \subset \{\xi : |\xi_2| \geqslant 2|\xi_1|\}$. If $|k_1| > 4$, we have $|k_2| > 6$ and $|k_2| \geqslant |k_1|$ in the summation of the left-hand side of (4.10). So, $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|>4}\langle k_1\rangle^{\sigma} II \le \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|>4}\langle k_2\rangle^{\sigma-3}\langle k_1\rangle^3 II$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\xi_2}\left(1-\psi\left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\xi_1}\right)\right)(\frac{\xi_2}{\xi_1})^3\prod_{i=1,2}\eta_{k_i+\ell_i}(\xi_i)\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|\alpha|\le 2}\left\|D^{\alpha}\left[\mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\xi_2}\left(1-\psi\left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\xi_1}\right)\right)(\frac{\xi_2}{\xi_1})^3\prod_{i=1,2}\eta_{k_i+\ell_i}(\xi_i)\right]\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}\\
&\lesssim \langle k_2\rangle^3\langle k_1\rangle^{-3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Collecting (4.16) and (4.18), we get the result, as desired. $\square$

Lemma 4.3 *Let $k=(k_1,\dots,k_n)$, $2\le q\le\infty$, $q>8/n$. Then we have for $\sigma\geqslant 0$ and $i,\alpha=1,\dots,n$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\,|k_\alpha|=k_{\max}>4}\langle k\rangle^{\sigma}\left\|\Box_k\partial^3_{x_i}\mathscr{A}f\right\|_{L^q_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\qquad\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\,|k_\alpha|=k_{\max}>4}\langle k_\alpha\rangle^{\sigma+3/2+3/q}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_\alpha}L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq\alpha}}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Proof. First, we consider the case $\alpha=1$. In view of (3.30) and $|k_1|=k_{\max}>4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Box_k\partial^3_{x_i}\mathscr{A}f\right\|_{L^q_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} &\lesssim \sum_{|\ell_1|,|\ell_i|\le 1}\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\xi_i}\left((\frac{\xi_i}{\xi_1})^3\eta_{k_i+\ell_i}(\xi_i)\eta_{k_1+\ell_1}(\xi_1)\right)\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}\\
&\quad\times\|\Box_k\partial^3_{x_1}\mathscr{A}f\|_{L^q_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\lesssim \langle k_i\rangle^3\langle k_1\rangle^{-3}\langle k_1\rangle^{3/2+3/q}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\lesssim \langle k_1\rangle^{3/2+3/q}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

(4.20) implies the result, as desired. Next, we consider the case $\alpha=2$, the general case $\alpha=3,\dots,n$ is similar. Notice that $|k_2|=k_{\max}>4$. By (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Box_k\partial^3_{x_i}\mathscr{A}f\right\|_{L^q_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} &\lesssim \sum_{|\ell_2|,|\ell_i|\le 1}\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_i,\xi_2}\left((\frac{\xi_i}{\xi_2})^3\eta_{k_i+\ell_i}(\xi_i)\eta_{k_2+\ell_2}(\xi_2)\right)\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}\\
&\quad\times\|\Box_k\partial^3_{x_2}\mathscr{A}f\|_{L^q_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\lesssim \langle k_i\rangle^3\langle k_2\rangle^{-3}\langle k_2\rangle^{3/2+3/q}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_2}L^2_{x_1,x_3,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\lesssim \langle k_2\rangle^{3/2+3/q}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_2}L^2_{x_1,x_3,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

$\square$

Remark 4.4 From the proof of Lemma 4.3, we easily see that for $\beta = 1, ..., n$

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\,|k_\alpha|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k\rangle^{\sigma} \left\|\Box_k \partial^3_{x_i} \mathscr{A} f\right\|_{L^q_{x_\beta} L^\infty_{(x_j)_{j\neq\beta}} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\,|k_\alpha|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_\alpha\rangle^{\sigma+3/2+3/q} \left\|\Box_k f\right\|_{L^1_{x_\alpha} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq\alpha}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{4.22}$$

5 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Before we prove our main Theorem 1.1, we consider it's special version-Theorem 1.2. As assumption, the nonlinear term takes the form

$$F((\partial^\alpha_x u)_{|\alpha|\leqslant 3}, (\partial^\alpha_x \bar{u})_{|\alpha|\leqslant 3}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \partial^3_{x_i}(u^{\kappa_i+1}).$$

Denote

$$\rho_1(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_i|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_i\rangle^3 \|\Box_k u\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})},$$

$$\rho_2(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2-3/\kappa} \|\Box_k u\|_{L^\kappa_{x_i} L^\infty_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})},$$

$$\rho_3(u) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x \bigcap L^{2+\kappa}_{x,t}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.$$

Put

$$X := \left\{ u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}) :\ \|u\|_X := \sum_{i=1}^3 \rho_i(u) \leq \delta_0 \right\}.$$

Considering the following integral mapping:

$$\mathscr{T} : u(t) \to S(t)u_0 - \mathrm{i}\mathscr{A}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \partial^3_{x_i} u^{\kappa_i+1}\right).$$

Firstly, we estimate $\|S(t)u_0\|_X$.
For simplicity, we denote

$$\|u\|_{Y_i} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_i|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_i\rangle^3 \|\Box_k u\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.$$

For the estimate of $\rho_1(u)$, it suffices to control $\|\cdot\|_{Y_1}$. By (2.8) and Plancherel's identity, we have

$$\|S(t)u_0\|_{Y_1} \lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1\rangle^3 \|\Box_k D^{-3/2}_{x_1} u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k_1\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For $\rho_2(u)$, using Prop 3.6, we obtain

$$\|\Box_k S(t)u_0\|_{L^q_{x_i} L^\infty_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/q} \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\rho_2(S(t)u_0) \lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

For $\rho_3(u)$, using Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\rho_3(S(t)u_0) \lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

Secondly, we estimate $\|\mathscr{A}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \partial^3_{x_i} u^{\kappa_i+1}\right)\|_X$.
For the simplicity of proof, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}^{(i)}_{\ell,1} &:= \{k^{(1)},...,k^{(\kappa_\ell+1)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n :\ |k^{(1)}_i| \vee ... \vee |k^{(\kappa_\ell+1)}_i| > 4\}, \\ \mathbb{S}^{(i)}_{\ell,2} &:= \{k^{(1)},...,k^{(\kappa_\ell+1)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n :\ |k^{(1)}_i| \vee ... \vee |k^{(\kappa_\ell+1)}_i| \leq 4\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the frequency-uniform decomposition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u^{\kappa_\ell+1} &= \sum_{k^{(1)},...,k^{(\kappa_\ell+1)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \Box_{k^{(1)}}u...\Box_{k^{(\kappa_\ell+1)}}u \\ &= \sum_{\mathbb{S}^{(i)}_{\ell,1}} \Box_{k^{(1)}}u...\Box_{k^{(\kappa_\ell+1)}}u + \sum_{\mathbb{S}^{(i)}_{\ell,2}} \Box_{k^{(1)}}u...\Box_{k^{(\kappa_\ell+1)}}u. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Using (3.29) and (3.32), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathscr{A}\partial^3_{x_1} u^{\kappa_1+1}\|_{Y_1} &\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1\rangle^3 \sum_{\mathbb{S}^{(1)}_{1,1}} \|\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u...\Box_{k^{(\kappa_1+1)}}u\right)\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,...,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ &+ \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1\rangle^3 \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2} \sum_{\mathbb{S}^{(1)}_{1,2}} \|\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u...\Box_{k^{(\kappa_1+1)}}u\right)\|_{L^{(2+\kappa)/(1+\kappa)}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ &:= I + II. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

In view of the support property of $\widehat{\Box_k u}$, we see that

$$\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u...\Box_{k^{(\kappa_1+1)}}u\right) = 0, \quad if \quad |k - k^{(1)} - ... - k^{(\kappa_1+1)}| \geqslant C. \tag{5.3}$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.2,

$$I \lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1\rangle^3 \sum_{\mathbb{S}^{(1)}_{1,1}} \|\Box_{k^{(1)}}u ... \Box_{k^{(\kappa_1+1)}}u\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,...,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\chi_{|k-k^{(1)}-...-k^{(\kappa_1+1)}|\le C}. \tag{5.4}$$

By Hölder's inequality and $\|\Box_k u\|_{L^\infty_x} \lesssim \|\Box_k u\|_{L^2_x}$ uniformly holds for all $k\in\mathbb{Z}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}u ... \Box_{k^{(\kappa_1+1)}}u\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,...,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\ &\le \|\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,...,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\prod_{i=2}^{\kappa_1+1}\|\Box_{k^{(i)}}u\|_{L^\kappa_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_2,...,x_n}L^\infty_t \bigcap L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $|k-k^{(1)}-...-k^{(\kappa_1+1)}|\le C$ implies that $|k_1-k^{(1)}_1-...-k^{(\kappa_1+1)}_1|\le C$, we see that $|k_1|\sim \max_{i=1,...,\kappa_1+1}|k^{(i)}_1|$. Without loss of generality , we may assume that $|k^{(1)}_1| = \max_{i=1,...,\kappa_1+1}|k^{(i)}_1|$ in the summation $\sum_{\mathbb{S}^{(1)}_{1,1}}$ in (5.4) above. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\lesssim \sum_{k^{(1)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k^{(1)}_1|\sim k_{\max}>4}\langle k^{(1)}_1\rangle^3\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,...,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\ &\quad\times\sum_{k^{(2)},...,k^{(\kappa_1+1)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\prod_{i=2}^{\kappa_1+1}\|\Box_{k^{(i)}}u\|_{L^\kappa_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_2,...,x_n}L^\infty_t\bigcap L^\infty_tL^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\ &\lesssim \rho_1(u)(\rho_2(u)+\rho_3(u))^{\kappa_1}. \end{aligned}\tag{5.5}$$

In view of (5.3) we easily see that $|k_1|\le C$ in II of (5.2). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} II &\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4}\sum_{\mathbb{S}^{(1)}_{1,2}}\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}u...\Box_{k^{(\kappa_1+1)}}u\|_{L^{(2+\kappa)/(1+\kappa)}_{x,t}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\chi_{|k-k^{(1)}-...-k^{(\kappa_1+1)}|\le C}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{\mathbb{S}^{(1)}_{1,2}}\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}u...\Box_{k^{(\kappa_1+1)}}u\|_{L^{(2+\kappa)/(1+\kappa)}_{x,t}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{\mathbb{S}^{(1)}_{1,2}}\prod_{i=1}^{\kappa_1+1}\|\Box_{k^{(i)}}u\|_{L^{2+\kappa}_{x,t}\bigcap L^\infty_tL^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\lesssim\rho_3(u)^{1+\kappa_1}. \end{aligned}\tag{5.6}$$

Hence, we have

$$\|\mathscr{A}\partial^3_{x_1}u^{\kappa_1+1}\|_{Y_1}\lesssim\rho_1(u)(\rho_2(u)+\rho_3(u))^{\kappa_1}+\rho_3(u)^{1+\kappa_1}. \tag{5.7}$$

Now, we turn to estimate $\|\mathscr{A}\partial^3_{x_2}u^{\kappa_2+1}\|_{Y_1}$. Let ψ_i be as in Lemma 4.2. For convenience, we write

$$P_i=\mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\xi_2}\psi_i\mathscr{F}_{x_1,x_2},\quad i=1,2. \tag{5.8}$$

We have

$$\|\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_2}^3 u^{\kappa_2+1}\|_{Y_1} \lesssim \left\|P_1\partial_{x_2}^3\mathscr{A}u^{\kappa_2+1}\right\|_{Y_1} + \left\|P_2\partial_{x_2}^3\mathscr{A}u^{\kappa_2+1}\right\|_{Y_1} := III + IV. \tag{5.9}$$

Using the decomposition (5.1),

$$\begin{aligned} III \leq & \left\|P_1\partial_{x_2}^3\mathscr{A}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(1)}}(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u...\Box_{k^{(\kappa_2+1)}}u)\right\|_{Y_1} \\ & + \left\|P_1\partial_{x_2}^3\mathscr{A}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,2}^{(1)}}(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u...\Box_{k^{(\kappa_2+1)}}u)\right\|_{Y_1} := III_1 + III_2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Using Lemma 4.2 and then taking the same way as in the estimate to (5.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} III_1 \lesssim & \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1\rangle^3 \sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(1)}} \|\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u...\Box_{k^{(\kappa_2+1)}}u\right)\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,...,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ \lesssim & \ \rho_1(u)(\rho_2(u)+\rho_3(u))^{\kappa_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

For the estimate of III_2, observing the fact that $\mathrm{supp}\psi_1 \subset \{\xi :\ |\xi_2| \leq 4|\xi_1|\}$ and using the multiplier estimate, then applying (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} III_2 \lesssim & \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4,\ |k_2|\lesssim|k_1|} \langle k_1\rangle^3 \sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,2}^{(1)}} \|\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u...\Box_{k^{(\kappa_2+1)}}u\right)\|_{L^{(2+\kappa)/(1+\kappa)}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ \lesssim & \ \rho_3(u)^{1+\kappa_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

We need to further control IV. Using the decomposition (5.1),

$$\begin{aligned} IV \leq & \left\|P_2\partial_{x_2}^3\mathscr{A}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(2)}}(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u...\Box_{k^{(\kappa_2+1)}}u)\right\|_{Y_1} \\ & + \left\|P_2\partial_{x_2}^3\mathscr{A}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,2}^{(2)}}(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u...\Box_{k^{(\kappa_2+1)}}u)\right\|_{Y_1} := IV_1 + IV_2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

By Lemma 4.2,

$$IV_1 \lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_2|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_2\rangle^3 \sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(2)}} \|\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u...\Box_{k^{(\kappa_2+1)}}u\right)\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,...,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{5.14}$$

By symmetry of $k^{(1)},...,k^{(\kappa_2+1)}$, we can assume that $|k_2^{(1)}| = \max_{1\leq i\leq\kappa_2+1}|k_2^{(i)}|$ in $\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(2)}$. Using the same way as in the estimate of I, we have

$$IV_1 \lesssim \sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(2)},\ |k_2^{(1)}|\sim l_{\max}>4} \langle k_2^{(1)}\rangle^3 \|\Box_{k^{(1)}}u...\Box_{k^{(\kappa_2+1)}}u\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,...,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{5.15}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\ldots\Box_{k^{(\kappa_2+1)}}u\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\ldots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\lesssim \|\Box_{k^{(1)}}u|\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\ldots\Box_{k^{(\kappa_2+1)}}u|^{1/2}\|_{L^2_{x,t}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\quad\times \||\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\ldots\Box_{k^{(\kappa_2+1)}}u|^{1/2}\|_{L^2_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_2,\ldots,x_n}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\lesssim \|\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\|_{L^\infty_{x_2}L^2_{x_1,x_3,\ldots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\prod_{i=2}^{\kappa_2+1}\|\Box_{k^{(i)}}u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^{\kappa_2}_{x_2}L^\infty_{x_1,x_3,\ldots,x_n}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\quad\times\prod_{i=2}^{\kappa_2+1}\|\Box_{k^{(i)}}u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^{\kappa_2}_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_2,\ldots,x_n}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \qquad (5.16)
\end{aligned}$$

Observing the inclusion $L^\kappa_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_2,\ldots,x_n}L^\infty_t\bigcap L^\infty_{x,t}\subset L^{\kappa_2}_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_2,\ldots,x_n}L^\infty_t$, we immediately have

$$IV_1\lesssim \rho_1(u)(\rho_2(u)+\rho_3(u))^{\kappa_2}. \qquad (5.17)$$

For the estimate of IV_2, noticing the fact that supp$\psi_2\subset\{\xi:\ |\xi_2|\geqslant 2|\xi_1|\}$ and applying (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
IV_2&\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_2|=k_{\max}>4}\langle k_2\rangle^3\sum_{\mathbb{S}^{(2)}_{2,2}}\|\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\ldots\Box_{k^{(\kappa_2+1)}}u\right)\|_{L^{(2+\kappa)/(1+\kappa)}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_2|=k_{\max}>4}\sum_{\mathbb{S}^{(2)}_{2,2}}\|\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\ldots\Box_{k^{(\kappa_2+1)}}u\right)\|_{L^{(2+\kappa)/(1+\kappa)}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\lesssim \rho_3(u)^{1+\kappa_2}. \qquad (5.18)
\end{aligned}$$

The treatment of the other terms in $\rho_1(\cdot)$ is similar. Therefore, we have shown that

$$\rho_1\left(\mathscr{A}(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\partial^3_{x_i}u^{\kappa_i+1})\right)\lesssim\sum_{i=1}^n\left(\rho_1(u)(\rho_2(u)+\rho_3(u))^{\kappa_i}+\rho_3(u)^{1+\kappa_i}\right). \qquad (5.19)$$

For the estimate of $\rho_2(\cdot)$. Denote

$$\|u\|_{Z_i}=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k\rangle^{3/2-3/\kappa}\|\Box_k u\|_{L^\kappa_{x_i}L^\infty_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \qquad (5.20)$$

We have

$$\rho_2\left(\mathscr{A}(\sum_{j=1}^n\lambda_i\partial_{x_i}u^{\kappa_i+1})\right)\lesssim\sum_{i=1}^n\left\|\mathscr{A}(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^3\partial_{x_i}u^{\kappa_i+1})\right\|_{Z_j}. \qquad (5.21)$$

Observing the symmetry of $Z_1, ..., Z_n$, it suffices to consider the estimate of $\|\cdot\|_{Z_1}$. Recall that $k_{\max} := \max_{1\le i\le n}|k_i|$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|v\|_{Z_1} &\le \Bigg(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\, k_{\max}>4} + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\, k_{\max}\le 4}\Bigg)\langle k\rangle^{3/2-3/\kappa}\|\Box_k v\|_{L^{\kappa}_{x_1}L^{\infty}_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^{\infty}_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\ &:= \Gamma_1(v)+\Gamma_2(v). \qquad (5.22)\end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.4) and Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_2\Bigg(\mathscr{A}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i\partial^3_{x_i}u^{\kappa_i+1}\Big)\Bigg) &\le \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\, k_{\max}\le 4}\Bigg\|\Box_k\mathscr{A}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\partial^3_{x_i}u^{\kappa_i+1}\Big)\Bigg\|_{L^{\kappa}_{x_1}L^{\infty}_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^{\infty}_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{k^{(1)},\dots,k^{(\kappa_i+1)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\dots\Box_{k^{(\kappa_i+1)}}u\|_{L^{\frac{2+\kappa_i}{1+\kappa_i}}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{k^{(1)},\dots,k^{(\kappa_i+1)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\|_{L^{2+\kappa_i}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\dots\|\Box_{k^{(\kappa_i+1)}}u\|_{L^{2+\kappa_i}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^n\rho_3(u)^{\kappa_i+1}. \qquad (5.23)\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_1(v) &\le \Bigg(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\,|k_1|=k_{\max}>4} + \dots + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\,|k_n|=k_{\max}>4}\Bigg)\langle k\rangle^{3/2-3/\kappa}\|\Box_k v\|_{L^{\kappa}_{x_1}L^{\infty}_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^{\infty}_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\ &:= \Gamma_1^1(v)+\dots+\Gamma_1^n(v). \qquad (5.24)\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the decomposition (5.1), (4.4) and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Gamma_1^1\Bigg(\mathscr{A}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\partial^3_{x_i}u^{\kappa_i+1}\Big)\Bigg)\\ &\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4}\langle k_1\rangle^3\sum_{\mathbb{S}^{(1)}_{i,1}}\|\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\dots\Box_{k^{(\kappa_i+1)}}u\right)\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\ &\quad+\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4}\langle k_1\rangle^3\langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2}\sum_{\mathbb{S}^{(1)}_{i,2}}\|\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\dots\Box_{k^{(\kappa_i+1)}}u\right)\|_{L^{(2+\kappa_i)/(1+\kappa_i)}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.\end{aligned}$$

(5.25)

Following the way as in (5.5) and (5.6), we can get

$$\Gamma_1^1\Bigg(\mathscr{A}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\partial^3_{x_i}u^{\kappa_i+1}\Big)\Bigg)\lesssim\sum_{i=1}^n\left(\rho_1(u)(\rho_2(u)+\rho_3(u))^{\kappa_i}+\rho_3(u)^{1+\kappa_i}\right). \qquad (5.26)$$

For the estimate of $\Gamma_1^2(\cdot)$. By Lemma 4.3 and (4.4) ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_1^2\left(\mathscr{A}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i\partial_{x_i}^3u^{\kappa_i+1}\Big)\right)\\
&\lesssim\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_2|=k_{\max}>4}\langle k\rangle^{3/2-3/\kappa}\|\Box_k\left(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_i}^3u^{\kappa_i+1}\right)\|_{L_{x_1}^{\kappa}L_{x_2,\dots,x_n}^{\infty}L_t^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\lesssim\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_2|=k_{\max}>4}\langle k_2\rangle^3\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{i,1}^{(2)}}\|\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\dots\Box_{k^{(\kappa_i+1)}}u\right)\|_{L_{x_2}^1L_{x_1,x_3,\dots,x_n}^2L_t^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\quad+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_2|=k_{\max}>4}\langle k_2\rangle^3\langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{i,2}^{(2)}}\|\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\dots\Box_{k^{(\kappa_i+1)}}u\right)\|_{L_{t,x}^{(2+\kappa_i)/(1+\kappa_i)}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.27}$$

This reduces the same estimate as $\Gamma_1^1(\cdot)$. The terms $\Gamma_1^i(\cdot)$ for $3\le i\le n$ can be controlled in a similar way as $\Gamma_1^2(\cdot)$ due to symmetry. Therefore, we have shown that

$$\left\|\mathscr{A}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i\partial_{x_i}^3u^{\kappa_i+1}\Big)\right\|_{Z_1}\lesssim\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\rho_1(u)(\rho_2(u)+\rho_3(u))^{\kappa_i}+\rho_3(u)^{1+\kappa_i}\right).\tag{5.28}$$

Now we turn to estimate $\rho_3(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_i}^3u^{\kappa_i+1})$. Combining (3.21) with Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Box_k\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_i}^3f\right\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2\cap L_{t,x}^{2+\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}&\lesssim\|\Box_k\partial_{x_i}^3f\|_{L_{t,x}^{(2+\kappa)/(1+\kappa)}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\lesssim\langle k_i\rangle^3\|\Box_kf\|_{L_{t,x}^{(2+\kappa)/(1+\kappa)}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.29}$$

Collecting (5.1), (5.29) and (3.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_3(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_1}^3u^{\kappa_1+1})\\
&\lesssim\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|\le4}\langle k_1\rangle^3\langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2}\sum_{k^{(1)},\dots,k^{(\kappa_1+1)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\|\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\dots\Box_{k^{(\kappa_1+1)}}u\right)\|_{L_{t,x}^{(2+\kappa)/(1+\kappa)}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\quad+\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|>4}\langle k_1\rangle^3\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{1,1}^{(1)}}\|\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\dots\Box_{k^{(\kappa_1+1)}}u\right)\|_{L_{x_1}^1L_{x_2,\dots,x_n}^2L_t^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\quad+\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|>4}\langle k_1\rangle^3\langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{1,2}^{(1)}}\|\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\dots\Box_{k^{(\kappa_1+1)}}u\right)\|_{L_{t,x}^{(2+\kappa)/(1+\kappa)}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.30}$$

Whether $|k_1|=k_{\max}>4$ or $|k_i|=k_{\max}>4, i=2,\dots,n$, using Lemma 4.2, (5.5) and (5.6) , we always have

$$\rho_3(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_1}^3u^{\kappa_1+1})\lesssim\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\rho_1(u)(\rho_2(u)+\rho_3(u))^{\kappa_i}+\rho_3(u)^{1+\kappa_i}\right).\tag{5.31}$$

Until now, we have shown that

$$\|\mathscr{T}u\|_X \lesssim \|u_0\|_{M^{3/2}_{2,1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|u\|_X^{1+\kappa_i}. \tag{5.32}$$

Hence, Theorem 1.2 holds by a standard contraction mapping argument. □

6 Proof of Theorem 1.1

When consider Theorem 1.1, we would like to follow some ideas as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. However, due to the nonlinearity contains the general terms $(\partial_x^\alpha u)^\beta$ with $|\alpha| \leqslant 3, m+1 \leqslant |\beta| \leqslant M+1$, the proof of Theorem 1.2 can not be directly applied. Inspired by Theorem 1.2 , the space X' we need is likely to be as following:

$$X' := \left\{ u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}) : \ \|u\|_X := \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varrho_\ell^{(i)}(\partial_x^\alpha u) \leq \delta \right\}.$$

where α is a multi-index and

$$\varrho_1^{(i)}(u) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_i|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_i\rangle^3 \|\Box_k u\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})},$$
$$\varrho_2^{(i)}(u) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2-3/m} \|\Box_k u\|_{L^m_{x_i} L^\infty_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})},$$
$$\varrho_3^{(i)}(u) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k u\|_{L^{2+m}_{x,t} \cap L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.$$

However, when Comparing with the estimates we have established, we hope the space as following:

$$X := \left\{ u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}) : \ \|u\|_X := \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \sum_{\alpha=0,3} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \varrho_\ell^{(i)}(\partial_{x_j}^\alpha u) \leq \delta \right\}.$$

Fortunately, we have the following:

Lemma 6.1 *X and X'-norm are equivalent.*

Proof. Obviously, we have $\|u\|_X \leqslant \|u\|_{X'}$. For the reverse inequality, we only need show that when $|\alpha|=3$ each term in the X' can be controlled by X. Firstly, we consider $\varrho_1^{(i)}(\partial_x^\alpha u), |\alpha|=3$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $\partial_x^\alpha u = \partial_{x_l x_m x_o} u, l, m, o = 1, ..., n$. Then we have

$$\varrho_1^{(i)}(\partial_{x_l x_m x_o} u) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_i|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_i\rangle^3 \|\Box_k \partial_{x_l x_m x_o} u\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}$$

By symmetry, we can assume $\langle k_l\rangle = \max\{\langle k_l\rangle, \langle k_m\rangle, \langle k_o\rangle\}$ Using Lemma 3.2 and Remark 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Box_k \partial_{x_l x_m x_o} u\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} &\lesssim \langle k_l\rangle\langle k_m\rangle\langle k_o\rangle \|\Box_k u\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\lesssim \langle k_l\rangle^3 \|\Box_k u\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\lesssim \|\Box_k \partial^3_{x_l} u\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\varrho_1^{(i)}(\partial_{x_l x_m x_o} u) \lesssim \varrho_1^{(i)}(\partial^3_{x_l} u) \lesssim \|u\|_X.$$

Secondly, the $\varrho_2^{(i)}(\partial_x^\alpha u), |\alpha| = 3$ can be treat in the same way as above.

Finally, we estimate $\varrho_3^{(i)}(\partial_x^\alpha u), |\alpha| = 3$. Noticing the denotation of $\varrho_3^{(i)}(\partial_x^\alpha u)$, it suffices to show $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \|u\|_X$. As before, we also assume $\partial_x^\alpha u = \partial_{x_l x_m x_o} u$, $l, m, o = 1, ..., n$ and $\langle k_l\rangle = \max\{\langle k_l\rangle, \langle k_m\rangle, \langle k_o\rangle\}$.

Using Sobolev imbedding Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k \partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} &\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k \partial_{x_l x_m x_o} u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k \partial^3_{x_l} u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\lesssim \varrho_3^{(i)}(\partial^3_{x_l} u)\\
&\lesssim \|u\|_X .
\end{aligned}$$

□

Considering the following mapping:

$$\mathscr{T} : u(t) \to S(t)u_0 - \mathrm{i}\mathscr{A} F((\partial_x^\alpha u)_{|\alpha|\leqslant 3}, (\partial_x^\alpha \bar{u})_{|\alpha|\leqslant 3})$$

we will show that $\mathscr{T} : X \to X$ is a contraction mapping.

Since $\|u\|_X = \|\bar{u}\|_X$, we can assume that

$$\begin{aligned}
F((\partial_x^\alpha u)_{|\alpha|\leqslant 3}, (\partial_x^\alpha \bar{u})_{|\alpha|\leqslant 3}) &= F(\partial_x^\alpha u)_{|\alpha|\leqslant 3})\\
&= \sum_{m+1\leq \tilde{R}\leq M+1} c_{R_0,R_1,R_2,R_3} u^{R_0} (\partial_x^{\alpha_1} u)^{R_1} (\partial_x^{\alpha_2} u)^{R_2} (\partial_x^{\alpha_3} u)^{R_3},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{R} = R_0 + |R_1| + |R_2| + |R_3|$, R_i and $|\alpha_i| = i, (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are multi-index.For simiplity, we denote

$$v_1 = ... = v_{R_0} = u, ..., v_{R_0+|R_1|+|R_2|+1} = ... = v_{\tilde{R}} = \partial_x^{\alpha_3} u.$$

By (2.8), for $\alpha = 0, 3$,

$$\varrho_1^{(i)}(\partial_{x_j}^{\alpha} S(t)u_0) \lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_i|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_i\rangle^{3/2}\langle k_j\rangle^3 \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|u_0\|_{M^{9/2}_{2,1}}.$$

By (3.27), (3.28), we have for $\alpha = 0, 3$,

$$\varrho_2^{(i)}(\partial_{x_j}^{\alpha} S(t)u_0) + \varrho_3^{(i)}(\partial_{x_j}^{\alpha} S(t)u_0) \lesssim \|u_0\|_{M^{9/2}_{2,1}}.$$

Hence,

$$\|u\|_X \lesssim \|u_0\|_{M^{9/2}_{2,1}}.$$

In order to estimate $\varrho_1^{(i)}(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_j}^{\alpha}(v_1...v_{\tilde R}))$, $i, j = 1, ..., n$, as before it suffices to estimate $\varrho_1^{(1)}(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha}(v_1...v_{\tilde R}))$ and $\varrho_1^{(1)}(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_2}^{\alpha}(v_1...v_{\tilde R}))$. Similarly as in (5.1), we will use the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}\Box_k(v_1...v_{\tilde R}) = & \sum_{\mathbb{S}_1^{(i)}} \Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}v_1...\Box_{k^{(\tilde R)}}v_{\tilde R}\right) \\ & + \sum_{\mathbb{S}_2^{(i)}} \Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}v_1...\Box_{k^{(\tilde R)}}v_{\tilde R}\right), \qquad (6.1)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{S}_1^{(i)} &:= \{k^{(1)}, ..., k^{(\tilde R)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n :\ |k_i^{(1)}| \vee ... \vee |k_i^{(\tilde R)}| > 4\}, \\ \mathbb{S}_2^{(i)} &:= \{k^{(1)}, ..., k^{(\tilde R)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n :\ |k_i^{(1)}| \vee ... \vee |k_i^{(\tilde R)}| \le 4\}.\end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.17) and (3.23),

$$\begin{aligned}& \varrho_1^{(1)}(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha}(v_1...v_{\tilde R})) \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1\rangle^3 \sum_{\mathbb{S}_1^{(1)}} \|\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}v_1...\Box_{k^{(\tilde R)}}v_{\tilde R}\right)\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,...,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ & \quad + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1\rangle^3\langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2} \sum_{\mathbb{S}_2^{(1)}} \|\Box_k\left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}v_1...\Box_{k^{(\tilde R)}}v_{\tilde R}\right)\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{\tilde R+1}{\tilde R}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ & := I + II. \qquad (6.2)\end{aligned}$$

Similar to (5.5),

$$I \lesssim \sum_{k^{(1)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1^{(1)}|\sim k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1^{(1)}\rangle \|\Box_{k^{(1)}}v_1\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,...,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}$$

$$\times \sum_{k^{(2)},\ldots,k^{(\tilde R)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \prod_{i=2}^{\tilde R} \|\Box_{k^{(i)}} v_i\|_{L_{x_1}^{\tilde R-1} L^\infty_{x_2,\ldots,x_n} L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{6.3}$$

By Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Box_{k^{(i)}} v_i\|_{L_{x_1}^{\tilde R-1} L^\infty_{x_2,\ldots,x_n} L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\le \|\Box_{k^{(i)}} v_i\|^{\frac{m}{\tilde R-1}}_{L^m_{x_1} L^\infty_{x_2,\ldots,x_n} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \|\Box_{k^{(i)}} v_i\|^{1-\frac{m}{\tilde R-1}}_{L^\infty_{x,t}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\lesssim \|\Box_{k^{(i)}} v_i\|^{\frac{m}{\tilde R-1}}_{L^m_{x_1} L^\infty_{x_2,\ldots,x_n} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \|\Box_{k^{(i)}} v_i\|^{1-\frac{m}{\tilde R-1}}_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Owning to Lemma 3.2 and Remark 3.3, it suffices to consider four cases: $v_i = u$, $v_i = u_{x_j}$, $v_i = u_{x_{jj}}$ and $v_i = u_{x_{jjj}}, j = 1, \ldots, n$. Collecting (6.3) and (6.4), we have

$$I \lesssim \|u\|^{\tilde R}_X. \tag{6.5}$$

Similar to (5.6), we see that $|k_1| \le C$ in the summation of II. Again, in view of Hölder's inequality and Lemma (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Box_{k^{(1)}} v_1 \ldots \Box_{k^{(\tilde R)}} v_{\tilde R}\|_{L_{x,t}^{\frac{\tilde R|+1}{\tilde R}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} &\le \prod_{i=1}^{\tilde R} \|\Box_{k^{(i)}} v_i\|_{L^{\tilde R+1}_{x,t}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\\
&\lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{\tilde R|} \|\Box_{k^{(i)}} v_i\|_{L^{2+m}_{x,t} \bigcap L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Hence, using a similar way as in (5.6),

$$II \lesssim \|u\|^{\tilde R}_X. \tag{6.7}$$

We now give the estimate of $\varrho_1^{(1)}(\mathscr{A}\partial^\alpha_{x_2}(v_1 \ldots v_{\tilde R}))$. Since we have obtained the estimate in the case $\alpha = 0$, it suffices to consider the case $\alpha = 3$. Let ψ_i $(i = 1, 2)$ be as in Lemma 4.2 and $P_i = \mathscr{F}^{-1}\psi_i\mathscr{F}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varrho_1^{(1)}(\mathscr{A}\partial^3_{x_2}(v_1 \ldots v_{\tilde R}))\\
&\le \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1| = k_{\max} > 4} \langle k_1\rangle^3 \|P_1 \Box_k(\mathscr{A}\partial^3_{x_2}(v_1 \ldots v_{\tilde R}))\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\ldots,x_n} L^2_t}\\
&\quad + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1| = k_{\max} > 4} \langle k_1\rangle^3 \|P_2 \Box_k(\mathscr{A}\partial^3_{x_2}(v_1 \ldots v_{\tilde R}))\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\ldots,x_n} L^2_t}\\
&:= III + IV.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

Using the decomposition (6.1),

$$\begin{aligned} III \leq & \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1\rangle^3 \sum_{\mathbb{S}_1^{(1)}} \|P_1\square_k(\mathscr{A}\partial^3_{x_2}(\square_{k^{(1)}}v_1\dots\square_{k^{(\tilde R)}}v_{\tilde R}))\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t} \\ & + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1\rangle^3 \sum_{\mathbb{S}_2^{(1)}} \|P_1\square_k(\mathscr{A}\partial^3_{x_2}(\square_{k^{(1)}}v_1\dots\square_{k^{(\tilde R)}}v_{\tilde R}))\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t} \\ & := III_1 + III_2. \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

By Lemma 4.2,

$$III_1 \lesssim \sum_{\mathbb{S}_1^{(1)}} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1\rangle^3 \|\square_k(\square_{k^{(1)}}v_1\dots\square_{k^{(\tilde R)}}v_{\tilde R})\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t}. \tag{6.10}$$

By symmetry, we may assume $|k_1^{(1)}| = \max(|k_1^{(1)}|,\dots,|k_1^{(\tilde R)}|)$ in $\mathbb{S}_1^{(1)}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} III_1 & \lesssim \sum_{\mathbb{S}_1^{(1)},\ |k_1^{(1)}|\sim k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1^{(1)}\rangle^3 \|\square_{k^{(1)}}v_1\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t} \prod_{i=2}^{\tilde R} \|\square_{k^{(i)}}v_i\|_{L^{\tilde R-1}_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^\infty_t} \\ & \lesssim \varrho_1^{(1)}(v_1)\prod_{i=2}^{\tilde R}(\varrho_2^{(1)}(v_i)+\varrho_3^{(1)}(v_i)) \lesssim \|u\|_X^{\tilde R}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

Applying (4.2) and using a similar way as in (5.12),

$$\begin{aligned} III_2 & \lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4,\ |k_2|\lesssim|k_1|} \langle k_1\rangle^3 \langle k_{\max}\rangle^{3/2} \sum_{\mathbb{S}_2^{(1)}} \|\square_k(\square_{k^{(1)}}v_1\dots\square_{k^{(\tilde R)}}v_{\tilde R})\|_{L^{(2+m)/(1+m)}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ & \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{\tilde R} \varrho_3^{(1)}(v_i) \leq \|u\|_X^{\tilde R}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

So, we have shown that

$$III \lesssim \|u\|_X^{\tilde R}. \tag{6.13}$$

Now we estimate IV. Using the decomposition (6.1),

$$\begin{aligned} IV \leq & \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1\rangle^3 \sum_{\mathbb{S}_1^{(2)}} \|P_2\square_k(\mathscr{A}\partial^3_{x_2}(\square_{k^{(1)}}v_1\dots\square_{k^{(\tilde R)}}v_{\tilde R}))\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t} \\ & + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1\rangle^3 \sum_{\mathbb{S}_2^{(2)}} \|P_2\square_k(\mathscr{A}\partial^3_{x_2}(\square_{k^{(1)}}v_1\dots\square_{k^{(\tilde R)}}v_{\tilde R}))\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t} \\ & := IV_1 + IV_2. \end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

By Lemma 4.2,

$$IV_1 \lesssim \sum_{\mathbb{S}_1^{(2)}} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_2|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_2\rangle^3 \|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}v_1 \ldots \Box_{k^{(\tilde{R})}} v_{\tilde{R}})\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\ldots,x_n}L^2_t}. \tag{6.15}$$

In view of the symmetry, one can bound IV_1 by using the same way as that of III_1 and as in (5.14)–(5.17):

$$IV_1 \lesssim \|u\|_X^{\tilde{R}}. \tag{6.16}$$

For the estimate of IV_2, we apply (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} IV_2 &\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1\rangle^{3/2}\langle k_2\rangle^3 \sum_{\mathbb{S}_2^{(2)}} \|P_2\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}v_1 \ldots \Box_{k^{(\tilde{R})}} v_{\tilde{R}})\|_{L_{t,x}^{(2+m)/(1+m)}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\mathbb{S}_2^{(2)}} \|\Box_{k^{(1)}}v_1 \ldots \Box_{k^{(\tilde{R})}} v_{\tilde{R}}\|_{L_{t,x}^{(2+m)/(1+m)}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \|u\|_X^{\tilde{R}}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

Hence, in view of (6.16) and (6.17), we have

$$IV \lesssim \|u\|_X^{\tilde{R}}. \tag{6.18}$$

Collecting (6.5), (6.7), (6.13) and (6.18), we have shown that

$$\sum_{\alpha=0,3}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \varrho_1^{(i)}(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_j}^{\alpha}(u^{R_0}(\partial_x^{\alpha_1}u)^{R_1}(\partial_x^{\alpha_2}u)^{R_2}(\partial_x^{\alpha_3}u)^{R_3})) \lesssim \|u\|_X^{\tilde{R}}. \tag{6.19}$$

For later estimate, we need a nonlinear mapping estimate

Lemma 6.2 ([28], Lemma 7.1.) *Let* $s \geqslant 0$, $1 \le p, p_i, \gamma, \gamma_i \le \infty$ *satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{p_N}, \quad \frac{1}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\gamma_1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{\gamma_N}. \tag{6.20}$$

Then

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k_1\rangle^s \|\Box_k(u_1\ldots u_N)\|_{L_t^{\gamma}L_x^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k_1\rangle^s \|\Box_k u_i\|_{L_t^{\gamma_i}L_x^{p_i}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\right). \tag{6.21}$$

Firstly, We estimate $\varrho_2^{(1)}(\mathscr{A}(u^{R_0}(\partial_x^{\alpha_1}u)^{R_1}(\partial_x^{\alpha_2}u)^{R_2}(\partial_x^{\alpha_3}u)^{R_3}))$ and $\varrho_3^{(1)}(\mathscr{A}(u^{R_0}(\partial_x^{\alpha_1}u)^{R_1}(\partial_x^{\alpha_2}u)^{R_2}(\partial_x^{\alpha_3}u)^{R_3}))$. In view of (3.34) and (3.24),

$$\sum_{j=2,3} \varrho_j^{(1)}(\mathscr{A}(u^{R_0}(\partial_x^{\alpha_1}u)^{R_1}(\partial_x^{\alpha_2}u)^{R_2}(\partial_x^{\alpha_3}u)^{R_3})$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k (u^{R_0}(\partial_x^{\alpha_1}u)^{R_1}(\partial_x^{\alpha_2}u)^{R_2}(\partial_x^{\alpha_3}u)^{R_3})\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{2+m}{1+m}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{6.22}$$

We use Lemma 6.2 to control the right hand side of (6.22):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k (v_1 ... v_{\tilde R})\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{2+m}{1+m}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\
&\lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k v_i\|_{L_{t,x}^{2+m}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \right) \prod_{i=m+2}^{\tilde R} \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{1/2} \|\Box_k v_i\|_{L_{t,x}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \right) \\
&\lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k v_i\|_{L_{t,x}^{2+m}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \right) \prod_{i=m+2}^{\tilde R} \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k v_i\|_{L_t^{\infty}L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \right) \\
&\lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{\tilde R} \varrho_3^{(1)}(v_i) \le \|u\|_X^{\tilde R}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.23}$$

Secondly, we estimate $\varrho_2^{(1)}(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_1}^3(u^{R_0}(\partial_x^{\alpha_1}u)^{R_1}(\partial_x^{\alpha_2}u)^{R_2}(\partial_x^{\alpha_3}u)^{R_3}))$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varrho_2^{(1)}(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_1}^3(v_1 ... v_{\tilde R})) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ k_{\max}>4} \langle k\rangle^{3/2-3/m} \|\Box_k \mathscr{A}\partial_{x_1}^3 \left(v_1 ... v_{\tilde R}\right) \|_{L_{x_1}^m L_{x_2,...,x_n}^\infty L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ k_{\max}\le 4} \langle k\rangle^{3/2-3/m} \|\Box_k \mathscr{A}\partial_{x_1}^3 \left(v_1 ... v_{\tilde R}\right) \|_{L_{x_1}^m L_{x_2,...,x_n}^\infty L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\
&:= V + VI.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

By (3.24) and Lemma 6.2, we have

$$VI \lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \|\Box_k (v_1 ... v_{\tilde R})\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{2+m}{1+m}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \|u\|_X^{\tilde R}. \tag{6.25}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
V \lesssim & \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4} + ... + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_n|=k_{\max}>4} \right) \langle k\rangle^{3/2-3/m} \\
& \times \|\Box_k \mathscr{A}\partial_{x_1}^3 \left(v_1 ... v_{\tilde R}\right) \|_{L_{x_1}^m L_{x_2,...,x_n}^\infty L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} := \Upsilon_1(u) + ... + \Upsilon_n(u).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

Applying the decomposition (6.1), (4.4) and Lemma 4.3 , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon_1(u) \lesssim & \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1\rangle^3 \sum_{\mathbb{S}_1^{(1)}} \|\Box_k \left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}v_1 ... \Box_{k^{(\tilde R)}}v_{\tilde R}\right) \|_{L_{x_1}^1 L_{x_2,...,x_n}^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\
& + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_1|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_1\rangle^{9/2} \sum_{\mathbb{S}_2^{(1)}} \|\Box_k \left(\Box_{k^{(1)}}v_1 ... \Box_{k^{(\tilde R)}}v_{\tilde R}\right) \|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{\tilde R+1}{\tilde R}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

which reduces to the case $\alpha = 3$ in (6.2). So,

$$\Upsilon_1(u) \lesssim \|u\|_X^{\tilde{R}}. \tag{6.28}$$

Again, in view of (4.4) and Lemma 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\Upsilon_2(u) \lesssim & \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_2|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_2\rangle^3 \sum_{\mathbb{S}_1^{(2)}} \|\Box_k \left(\Box_{k^{(1)}} v_1 ... \Box_{k^{(\tilde{R})}} v_{\tilde{R}}\right) \|_{L^1_{x_2} L^2_{x_1,x_3,\dots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ & + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\ |k_2|=k_{\max}>4} \langle k_2\rangle^{9/2} \sum_{\mathbb{S}_2^{(2)}} \|\Box_k \left(\Box_{k^{(1)}} v_1 ... \Box_{k^{(\tilde{R})}} v_{\tilde{R}}\right) \|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{\tilde{R}+1}{\tilde{R}}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})},\end{aligned} \tag{6.29}$$

which reduces to the same estimate as $\Upsilon_1(u)$. Using the same way as $\Upsilon_2(u)$, we can get the estimates of $\Upsilon_3(u), ..., \Upsilon_n(u)$. So,

$$\varrho_2^{(1)}(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_1}^3(v_1...v_{\tilde{R}})) \lesssim \|u\|_X^{\tilde{R}}. \tag{6.30}$$

We need to further bound $\varrho_2^{(1)}(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_i}^3(v_1...v_{\tilde{R}}))$, $i = 2, ..., n$, which is essentially the same as $\varrho_2^{(1)}(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_1}^3(v_1...v_{\tilde{R}}))$. Obviously, (6.24) holds if we substitute $\partial_{x_1}^3$ with $\partial_{x_i}^3$. Moreover, using (4.4), Lemmas 6.2 and 4.3, we easily get that

$$\rho_2^{(1)}\left(\mathscr{A}(\partial_{x_i}^3(v_1...v_{\tilde{R}}))\right) \lesssim \|u\|_X^{\tilde{R}}. \tag{6.31}$$

By Lemma 3.2, (3.21), we see that

$$\|\Box_k \mathscr{A}\partial_{x_1}^3 f\|_{L_t^\infty L^2 \cap L_{t,x}^{2+m}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \langle k_1\rangle^3 \|\Box_k f\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{2+m}{1+m}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{6.32}$$

Hence, in view of (3.22), repeating the procedure as in the estimates of $\rho_3(u)$ in Theorem 1.2, $\varrho_3^{(1)}(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_1}^3(v_1...v_{\tilde{R}}))$ can be controlled by the right hand side of (6.27) and (6.25). By symmetry, the estimate of $\varrho_3^{(1)}(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_i}^3(v_1...v_{\tilde{R}})), i = 2, ..., n$ is identical to $\varrho_3^{(1)}(\mathscr{A}\partial_{x_1}^3(v_1...v_{\tilde{R}}))$. Summarizing the estimates as in the above, we have shown that

$$\|\mathscr{T}u\|_X \lesssim \|u_0\|_{M_{2,1}^{9/2}} + \sum_{m+1\le\tilde{R}\le M+1} \|u\|_X^{\tilde{R}}. \tag{6.33}$$

Therefore, the desired result holds by a standard contracting mapping argument.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his great thanks to Professor Baoxiang Wang and Doctor Zihua Guo for their helpful discussion and valuable suggestion. The author was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants numbers 10571004 and 10621061), the 973 Project Foundation of China, grant number 2006CB805902.

References

[1] M. Ben-Artzi, H. Koch and J. C. Saut, Dispersion estimates for fourth order Schrödinger equations, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., **330**(2) (2000) 87–92.

[2] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, Interpolation Spaces, An Introduction, Springer-Verlag, 1976.

[3] T. Cazenave, An Introduction to Nonlinear Schödinger Equations, Textos de Métodos Matemáticos, 26, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, 1996.

[4] H. Chihara, Local existence for semilinear Schrödinger equations, Math. Japonica, **42** (1995) 35–52.

[5] P. Constantin and J. C. Saut, Local smoothing properties of dispersive equations, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **1** (1988), 413–446.

[6] K. B. Dysthe, Note on a modification to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation for application to deep water waves, Proc. R. Soc. Lond., **A369** (1979) 105–114.

[7] H. G. Feichtinger, Modulation spaces on locally compact Abelian group, Technical Report, University of Vienna, 1983. Published in: "Proc. Internat. Conf. on Wavelet and Applications", 99–140. New Delhi Allied Publishers, India, 2003. http://www.unive.ac.at/nuhag-php/bibtex/ open_files/fe03-1_modspa03.pdf.

[8] J. Ginibre and Y. Tsutsumi, Uniqueness for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **20**(1989) 1388–1425.

[9] B. L. Guo and B. X. Wang, The global Cauchy problem and scattering of solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations in H^s. Diff. Integral Eqs., **15** (9) (2002) 1073–1083.

[10] C. C. Hao, L. Hsiao and B. X. Wang, Wellposedness for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **320**(1) (2006) 246–265.

[11] C. C. Hao, L. Hsiao and B. X. Wang, Wellposedness of the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations in multi-dimension spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **328**(1) (2007) 58–83.

[12] Z. H. Huo and Y. L. Jia, The Cauchy problem for the fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation related to the vortex filament, J. Diff. Eqns., **214** (2005) 1–35.

[13] A. Ionescu and C. E. Kenig, Low-regularity Schrödinger maps, II: Global well posedness in dimensions $d \geqslant 3$, Commun. Math. Physics, **271** (2007), 523–559. arXiv:math/0605209v1.

[14] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **40** (1991) 33–69.

[15] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, Well-posedness of the initial value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **4**(1991) 323–347.

[16] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, Small solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Nonlinear Anal., **10** (1993) 255–288.

[17] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, Smoothing effects and local existence theory for the generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Invent. Math., **134** (1998) 489–545.

[18] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, The Cauchy problem for quasi-linear Schrödinger equations. Invent. Math., **158** (2004) 343–388.

[19] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **40** (1991), 253–288.

[20] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, C. Rolvent, L. Vega, The genreal quasilinear untrahyperbolic Schrodinger equation, Advances in Mathematics **206** (2006), 402–433.

[21] J. Segata, Well-posedness for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger type equation related to the vortex filament, Diff. Integral Eqs., **16** (7) (2003) 841–864.

[22] J. Segata, Remark on well-posedness for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger type equation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **132** (2004) 3559–3568.

[23] M. Sugimoto amd N. Tomita, The dilation property of modulation spaces and their inclusion relation with Besov spaces, J. Funct. Anal., **248** (2007), 79–106.

[24] H. Tribel, Theory of Function Spaces, Birkhäuser-Verlag, 1983.

[25] J. Toft, Continuity properties for modulation spaces, with applications to pseudo-differential calculus, I. J. Funct. Anal., **207** (2004), 399–429.

[26] B. X. Wang, L. J. Han and C. Y. Huang, Global Smooth Effects and Well-Posedness for the Derivative Nonlinear Schrödinger Equaton with Small Rough Data, arXiv:0808.3098V2.

[27] B. X. Wang and C. Y. Huang, Frequency-uniform decomposition method for the generalized BO, KdV and NLS equations, J. Diff. Eqns., **239**(2007), 213–250.

[28] B. X. Wang and H. Hudzik, The global Cauchy problem for the NLS and NLKG with small rough data, J. Diff. Eqns., **232** (2007) 36-73.

[29] B. X. Wang and L. F. Zhao and B. L. Guo, Isometric decomposition operators, function spaces $E^{\lambda}_{p,q}$ and applications to nonlinear evolution operators, J. Funct. Anal., **233** (2006) 1–39.

[30] H. Zhang, Global well-posedness and scattering for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations with small data, arXiv:0809.1512v2.